

Advanced Chemical Analyses as Indicators for Coal Fouling and Slagging

Larry Baxter Brigham Young University Provo, UT 84602

21st Annual ACERC Conference February 28, 2007



Origins of Coal





Ash Impacts Boiler Design



High-rank Coal

Low-rank Coal



Chemical Fractionation





Inorganic Classes



Alkali Metals in Coals



Atomically Dispersed Sodium





Atomically Distributed Potassium



Inorganic Classes



Major Transformations Summary





Traditional Analyses





Major Chemical Species



Complete Species Descriptions





Percent of Inorganic Mass

Individual Species Reactions





Gypsum Typifies Sulfates





Kaolinite Forms Little Liquid



 $i \in i \cap p \in i$ at $u \in C$



Illite (Muscovite)





. • ٣

Pyrite Forms Liquid





I emperature, °C



Deposition Mechanisms







Inertial Impaction











Eddy Impaction









steam tube



Most Mass Impacts





Thermophoresis





small (< 5 µm) particles



Thermophoresis?





Condensation









Condensation



Chemical Reaction









Gases React with Deposits







Status of Chemical Fractionation



- Incorporated into (nearly) commercial software
- Analysis developed as VBA code and incorporated into Access – could easily be incorporated into Excel
- ASTM Ruggedness tests
 - Repeatability (ability of a single lab to get similar results) done
 - Reproducibility (ability of different labs to get similar results) underway – welcome volunteer labs (need about 6 more)
- Have database of about 50 coals representing most ranks, mostly bituminous and subbituminous, and mostly US fuels.



Si Tracer Errors in H₂O Step





Si Tracer Errors in AmAc Step



Si Errors in HCI Step



EB/Ke



Leachate vs Solids Analysis: H₂O



Leachate vs Solids Analysis: AmAc



Leachate vs Solids Analysis: HCI



Deposition Rates Are Modeled

- Inertial impaction
 - Important for deposit mass
 - Best quantified
- Eddy impaction
 - Generally small contributor
 - Largely empirical models
- Thermophoresis
 - Huge theoretical literature
 - Data comparisons not satisfying
- Condensation
 - Good theory, but complex for practical conditions
- Chemical reaction
 - Complex in condensed phase







Cofiring Deposition













Deposition Rates Vary Widely

Rat

- Cofiring biomass can • lead to either decrease or increase in deposition rates.
- Cofiring decreases • deposition relative to neat fuels.





Oxygen Mass Fraction Contours









2.2032e-001

o2

1.6524e-001

1.1016e-001

5.5080e-002

0.0000e+000

Cloud (Particle) Trajectories







Mechanisms Shift With Size







Deposition Rate: First SH







Sulfur Affects Chlorine Exposure



100% Imperial Wheat Straw



85% E. Kentucky 15% Wheat Straw



BL Mechanisms









Vapor Deposition



Vapor deposition flux [g/m²/h]





Radiative Properties Are Important



- Deposit surface temperature and heat flux depend strongly on thermal conductivity and emissivity.
- Between the theoretical bounds or thermal conductivity lies a large variation in performance.
- It is essential that in situ thermal conductivity data are collected.



In Situ Conductivity Data



Į







Emissivity Is Difficult



- Theoretically rigorous approaches are being attempted to describe emissivities.
- Fundamental data (optical constants) are in significant disagreement.
- New optical constants are being calculated using several approaches.





In Situ Experimental Data







Chlorine Dominates Aerosol Formation



Chlorine Controls Aerosol Amount







Chenevert









Chenevert

Mechanisms Combine



