Feb 12<sup>th</sup> 2004

### **Thermal NO Predictions in Glass Furnaces: A Subgrid Scale Validation Study**

Padmabhushana R. Desam & Prof. Philip J. Smith CRSIM, University of Utah Salt lake city, UT-84112







# Glass furnaces: Thermal NO

- High pre-heated air is used in the combustion for fuel efficiency, results in high peak flame temperatures (typically around 2200 K)
- Thermal NO formation is very significant above 1800 K
  - Forms in local regions, where temperature is high & radicals such as O, OH present
- To meet the environmental regulations, glass manufacturers are in need of cost-effective tools to minimize the emissions



#### Combustion in a glass furnace



Cross-sectional view of a single port





## **Turbulent mixing & reaction-NOx**





THE UNIVERSITY OF UTAH

## Time & Length scales



### Mesh/subgrid

OF UTAH



### Numerical simulation of combustion

- Direct Numerical Simulations (DNS) is not possible for practical problems in the foreseeable future
  - Resolves all the scales, both spatially and temporally
- Large Eddy Simulations (LES) is difficult, but possible
  - Resolves problem-dependent large scales, models small scales
- Reynolds Average Navier Stokes (RANS) simulations is the feasible solution for industrial scale problems
  - Solves time-averaged governing equations
  - Needs subgrid scale models to account for the unresolved scales
    - Turbulence model: Accounts for the unresolved turbulent scales on the mean flow transport
    - Mixing model: Represents mixing at subgrid scales
    - Reaction model: To simplify the complex finite-rate calculations



## Reaction model (Thermo chemistry)

- Reduces the number of degrees of freedom associated with the combustion chemical reactions in CFD calculations
- If the state of the system (ø<sub>i</sub>) has n+2 degrees of freedom
  i.e., [ρ,T,Y<sub>1</sub>,...,Y<sub>n</sub>], a reaction model parameterizes the state
  with one/more independent tractable variables
  - Integration of stiff PDEs can be avoided in CFD
- For non-premixed combustion, two widely used models
  - Equilibrium model: Mixture fraction (f)
  - Steady flamelets model : Mixture fraction (f) & scalar dissipation ( $\chi$ )
  - An important underlying assumption is that mixing is the rate limiting process compared to chemical reactions (High Damkholer number)





## Reaction model (continued)



$$\phi_i = R(f)$$

### Equilibrium

 $\phi_i = R(f, \chi)$ 

Laminar flamelets





### Thermal NO chemistry

 Extended Zeldovich mechanism

 $O + N_{2} \xleftarrow{k_{+1}} NO + N$  $N + O_{2} \xleftarrow{k_{+2}} NO + O$  $N + OH \xleftarrow{k_{+3}} NO + H$ 

 With the quasi-steady state assumption for N atoms

$$\frac{d[NO]}{dt} = 2k_1[O][N_2] \frac{\left(1 - \frac{k_{-1}k_{-2}[NO]^2}{k_1[N_2]k_2[O_2]}\right)}{\left(1 + \frac{k_{-1}[NO]}{k_2[O_2] + k_3[OH]}\right)} gmol/m^3 - s$$

- How should we choose the intermediates O & OH?
  - Equilibrium
  - Partial equilibrium
  - Instantaneous quantities from advanced subgrid reaction models (nonequilibrium effects)
- Turbulence-NO chemistry effects?
  - Needs a mixing model
  - Which NO should we select?





## Nonequilibrium effects: 0 & OH



#### Mass fraction of O

#### **Mass fraction of OH**





x 10

2.5

2

1.5

0.5

0.8

0.6

## Nonequilibrium effects: 0 & OH



#### Mass fraction of O

**Mass fraction of OH** 





### **DNS** validation of reaction models<sup>\*</sup>



- DNS of spatially evolving non-premixed CO-H<sub>2</sub> jet
  - Compared to equilibrium chemistry models, flamelets predicted the OH concentrations reasonably





## Mixing model

- Accounts for mixing at unresolved (subgrid) scales
- Subgrid scale statistics can be represented with a prescribed probability density function from moments of the tractable variables computed on the mesh
  - Resolving the moments at grid level is crucial to represent the subgrid scale mixing accurately

#### Equilibrium

$$\overline{\phi_i} = \int \phi_i(f) P(f) df$$

#### Laminar flamelets

$$\overline{\phi}_i = \int \phi_i(f,\chi) P(f,\chi) df d\chi$$



Mixing & State space









## IFRF glass furnace

- Furnace Dimensions: 3.8 m long X 0.88 m wide X 0.955 m high
- Grid: Modeled only half the domain
  - 420,000 hexahedral elements (after grid adaption)
- Validation data\* on the plane of symmetry at x=0.6, 0.9, 1.2, 1.8 & 2.4 m (along the vertical direction)
  - Temperature, O<sub>2</sub>,CO<sub>2</sub>,CO,CH<sub>4</sub>,NOx
- Operating conditions

Air inlet

- Natural gas at 283 K
- 10 % excess air at 1373 K

Fuel inlet



\* T.Nakamura, W.L. Vandecamp and J.P. Smart, "Further studies on high temperature gas combustion in glass furnaces", IFRF Doc No F 90/Y/7, August 1991



# Simulation details

- Parallel version of FLUENT 6.0 on 4 processors
- Flow & Turbulence: Time-averaged Navier-Stokes equations with standard κ-ε model & RSM for turbulence closure
- Combustion: Mixture fraction with equilibrium chemistry & flamelets
  - Flamelets: GRI Mech2.11\* chemical mechanism
- Radiation: Discrete-ordinates with weighted-sum-of-graygases model (WSGGM) for gas absorption coefficients
- Soot: Two-step Tesner model (soot formation & combustion) with participation in radiation
- Boundary conditions:
  - Velocity is specified at the fuel and air inlets



Wall B.C.s & glass surface are treated by specifying heat flux

\* C.T. Bowman, R.K. Hanson, D.F. Davidson, W.C. Gardiner, Jr., V. Lissianski, G.P. Smith, D.M. Golden,
 M. Frenklach and M. Goldenberg, http://www.me.berkeley.edu/gri\_mech/



### **Temperature Distribution**



OF UTAH

### **Temperature validation**



UNIVERSIT OF UTAH

RStan

## O<sub>2</sub> validation



UNIVERSIT OF UTAH



## NOx calculations

- Turbulence: Sensitivity of turbulence model is studied with standard κ-ε model and RSM
- Mixing: Turbulence effects on the NOx production rates are accounted through the mixture fraction PDF
- Reaction model: NOx is post-processed with the following O & OH radical concentrations
  - In the case of equilibrium combustion calculations, O & OH are taken from the partial-equilibrium approximation
  - For flamelets combustion calculations, O & OH concentrations are from flamelets PDF look-up tables





## **NOx validation**



CRSia

THE UNIVERSITY OF UTAH

## **NOx validation**

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### X=1.2 m

X=1.8 m

![](_page_20_Picture_4.jpeg)

THE UNIVERSITY OF UTAH

# Large Eddy Simulations (LES)

- NS equations are filtered to retain large scales of the flow
  - Large scales are more problem-dependent and contains most of the energy
  - Needs subgrid scale models for small scales, which tends to have more universal behavior
  - Resolves flow and mixing more accurately than RANS methods

![](_page_21_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_6.jpeg)

#### LES of a TNF workshop flame

![](_page_21_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_9.jpeg)

### **LES & thermal NO**

![](_page_22_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Temperature & Thermal NO source**

![](_page_22_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_4.jpeg)

## Conclusions

- Mixing: Resolving mixing is crucial in predicting the local thermo-chemical state of the system and pollutants
  - Resolved scale mixing: Predictions are very sensitive to the inlet boundary profiles
  - Subgrid scale mixing: LES resolves mixing more accurately than RANS, thus reduces the burden on mixing model
- Reaction Model: For NOx predictions, the intermediate species should be chosen from realistic reaction models, which can include the nonequilibrium effects
- Validation: A systematic validation strategy for NOx simulation in industrial furnaces needs to include validation at pilot and bench scales.

![](_page_23_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_7.jpeg)

## Acknowledgement

 This research program is financially supported by Sandia National Laboratories & DOE Office of Industrial Technologies, under the supervision of Dr. Robert J. Gallagher

![](_page_24_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_3.jpeg)